good cause shown and an effective date of December 28, 1994 for the Service Agreement.

GPU has served copies of the filing on regulatory agencies in New Jersey and Pennsylvania.

Comment date: February 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 8. Jersey Central Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company, Pennsylvania Electric Company

[Docket No. ER95-546-000]

Take notice that on January 20, 1995, GPU Service Corporation (GPU), on behalf of Jersey Central Power & Light Company, Metropolitan Edison Company and Pennsylvania Electric Company (jointly referred to as the GPU Operating Companies), filed an executed Service Agreement between GPU and Long Island Lighting Company (LILCO). This Service Agreement specifies that LILCO has agreed to the rates, terms and conditions of the GPU Operating Companies' Operating Capacity and/or Energy Sales Tariff (Sales Tariff) designated as FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1. The Sales Tariff was filed with the Commission on December 12, 1994 in Docket No. ER95-276-000 and allows GPU and LILCO to enter into separately scheduled transactions under which the GPU Operating Companies will make available for sale, surplus operating capacity and/or energy at negotiated rates that are no higher than the GPU Operating Companies' cost of service.

GPU requests a waiver of the Commission's notice requirements for good cause shown and an effective date of December 23, 1994 for the Service Agreement.

GPU has served copies of the filing on regulatory agencies in New Jersey and Pennsylvania.

Comment date: February 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

# 9. Ohio Power Company

[Docket No. FA92-60-001]

Take notice that on January 27, 1995, Ohio Power Company tendered for filing a Compliance Filing pursuant to the Commission's Letter Order issued December 2, 1994.

Copies of the filing were served upon Wheeling Power Company, the Public Service Commission of West Virginia, the affected municipal customers and the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Comment date: February 24, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 10. University Cogeneration, Inc.

[Docket Nos. QF86–529–003 and EL94–76–000]

On February 2, 1995, University Cogeneration, Inc. (Applicant) submitted for filing an amendment to its filing in this docket.

The amendment provides additional information pertaining to the operation of Applicant's cogeneration facility. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

Comment date: March 3, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## **Standard Paragraphs**

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

#### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–4109 Filed 2–17–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

### [Docket No. CP95-92-000]

Atlantic Gas Systems, Inc.; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Myer Compressor Station and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues

February 15, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or the Commission) will prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) that will discuss environmental impacts of the construction and operation of the proposed Myer Compressor Station.<sup>1</sup> This EA will be used by the Commission in its decision-making process to determine whether an environmental impact statement is necessary and whether to approve the project.

## **Summary of the Proposed Project**

Atlantic Gas Systems, Incorporated (Atlantic) wants Commission authorization to construct and operate a new 825-horsepower (hp) compressor station on the site of an existing compressor foundation in Cabell County, West Virginia.

Atlantic would construct the following facilities:

- One skid-mounted 825-hp reciprocating engine-driven compressor unit leased from Cardinal Compression, Inc.;
- An insulated compressor building; and
- An office/control building.

  The location of these facilities is shown in appendix 1.2

## **Land Requirements for Construction**

Atlantic purchased a 3.42-acre site in Cabell County that was previously used for a compressor station. Atlantic would keep all construction disturbance within this site.

#### The EA Process

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us to discover and address concerns the public may have about proposals. We call this "scoping". The main goal of the scoping process is to focus the analysis in the EA on the important environmental issues. By this Notice of Intent, the Commission requests public comments on the scope of the issues it will address in the EA. All comments received are taken into account during the preparation of the EA. State and local government representatives are encouraged to notify their constituents of this proposed action and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

The EA will discuss impacts that could occur as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed project under these general headings:

· Geology and soils

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Atlantic Gas Systems, Incorporated's application was filed with the Commission under Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act and Part 157 of the Commission's regulations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The appendices referenced in this notice are not being printed in the **Federal Register**. Copies are available from the Commission's Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, Room 3104, 941 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, or call (202) 208–1371. Copies of the appendices were sent to all those receiving this notice in the mail.

- Water resources, fisheries, and wetlands
- Vegetation and wildlife
- Endangered and threatened species
- Public safety
- Land use
- Cultural resources
- Air quality and noise
- Hazardous waste

We will also evaluate possible alternatives to the proposed project or portions of the project, and make recommendations on how to lessen or avoid impacts on the various resource areas.

Our independent analysis of the issues will be in the EA. Depending on the comments received during the scoping process, the EA may be published and mailed to Federal, state, and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, affected landowners, newspapers, libraries, and the Commission's official service list for this proceeding. A comment period will be allotted for review if the EA is published. We will consider all comments on the EA before we recommend that the Commission approve or not approve the project.

# **Currently Identified Environmental Issues**

We have already identified several issues that we think deserve attention based on a preliminary review of the proposed facilities and the information provided by Atlantic. Keep in mind that this is a preliminary list. The list of issues will be added to, subtracted from, or changed based on your comments and our analysis. Issues are:

- The Myer Compressor Station would be a new source of air pollutant emissions.
- The operating noise of the proposed facility may impact the surrounding residences. The nearest noisesensitive area is a residence 810 feet south of the Myer Compressor Station.

# **Public Participation**

You can make a difference by sending a letter addressing your specific comments or concerns about the project. You should focus on the potential environmental effects of the proposal, alternatives to the proposal, and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impact. The more specific your comments, the more useful they will be. Please follow the instructions below to ensure that your comments are received and properly recorded:

 Address your letter to: Lois Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., NE., Washington, DC 20426;

- Reference Docket No. CP95-92-000;
- Send a copy of your letter to: Mr. Steven G. Grape, EA Project Manager, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., NW., room 7312, Washington, DC 20426; and
- Mail your comments so that they will be received in Washington, DC on or before March 15, 1995.

If you wish to receive a copy of the EA, you should request one from Mr. Grape at the above address.

## Becoming an Intervenor

In addition to involvement in the EA scoping process, you may want to become an official party to the proceeding or become an "intervenor". Among other things, intervenors have the right to receive copies of caserelated Commission documents and filings by other intervenors. Likewise, each intervenor must provide copies of its filings to all other parties. If you want to become in intervenor you must file a motion to intervene according to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214) attached as appendix 2.

The date for filing timely motions to intervene in this proceeding has passed. Therefore, parties now seeking to file late interventions must show good cause, as required by section 385.214(b)(3), why this time limitation should be waived. Environmental issues have been viewed as good cause for late intervention. You do not need intervenor status to have your scoping comments considered.

Additional information about the proposed project is available from Mr. Steven G. Grape, EA Project Manager, at (202) 208–1046.

#### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–4146 Filed 2–17–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

# [Docket No. CP94-715-000]

Koch Gateway Pipeline Co.; Notice of Availability of the Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Kiln Compressor Station

February 15, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) has prepared an environmental assessment (EA) on the Kiln Compressor Station proposed by Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway) in the above-referenced docket.

The EA was prepared to satisfy the requirements of the National

Environmental Policy Act. The staff concludes that approval of the proposed project, with appropriate mitigating measures, would not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

The EA assesses the potential environmental effects of the construction and operation of the Kiln Compressor Station. The project involves construction of a new 8,000-horsepower (hp) compressor station in Hancock County, Mississippi. The proposed facilities include:

- Two 4,000-hp Solar Centaur T–4700 turbine-driven compressor units;
- Two gas discharge coolers;
- Two oil storage tanks;
- One condensate tank;
- One inlet separator;
- One blowdown silencer;
- 70 feet of 30-inch-diameter tie-in pipeline;
- 1,850 feet of 16-inch-diameter pipeline;
- 2,000 feet of 24-inch-diameter suction and discharge headers and associated valves;
- 335 feet of 10-inch-diameter suction and discharge headers;
- A compressor building;
- An office/control building;
- A 200-foot-tall microwave tower;
- An auxiliary building; and
- 700 feet of new gravel road.

Koch Gateway would construct this station to provide greater flexibility and reliability on its system serving the Mobile-Pensacola market. Koch Gateway would be able to move an additional 169,000,000 cubic feet of gas per day (169,000 Mcfd) on its 30-inch-diameter Index 300 mainline that runs from Lirette, Louisiana to Mobile, Alabama.

Additonally, the Kiln Compressor Station would allow Koch Gateway to inject gas into Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company's (Tennessee) existing 30-inch-diameter "500" pipeline at an existing interconnect between Koch Gateway and Tennessee (Kiln Interconnect). Currently, high pressure in Tennessee's 500 pipeline prevents Koch Gateway from injecting gas into Tennessee's pipeline at the Kiln Interconnect. Koch Gateway would use the Kiln Compressor Station to provide up to 377,000 Mcfd to Tennessee.

The EA has been placed in the public files of the FERC and is available for public inspection at: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Room 3104, Washington, DC 20426, (202) 208–1371.

Copies of the EA have been mailed to Federal, state and local agencies, public